

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/772,430 | 02/06/2004 | Yohei Makuta | 0505-1266P | 6116 | |
| | 7590 04/12/201 ART KOLASCH & BI | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 747 | | | EGLOFF, PETER RICHARD | | |
| FALLS CHUR | CH, VA 22040-0747 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3715 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/12/2010 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/772,430 | MAKUTA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| PETER R. EGLOFF | 3715 | | |

| | TETER IC EGEOTT | 07.10 | |
|--|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| \(\)\[\)\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[| replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the r (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | on. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(|). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee te action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed. | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | (-, | |
| ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belot) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially red | TE below); ducing or simplifying th | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1) | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Con | , | , |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. M For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 5.6.1.1.1.2.18 and 20. Claim(s) objected to: 7.10 and 15. Claim(s) rejected: 1.4.13.14.16, 17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | l be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | I sufficient reasons why the affidavi | | |
| | | | |

- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1),
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: .

/Kathleen Mosser/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3715 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1 and 13 reciting "wherein all portions of the at least two main frames are disposed forwardly with respect to the steering handle mechanism" in claim 1 and "wherein all portions of the first to third main frames are disposed forwardly with respect to the steering handle mechanism" in claim 13, incorporate a new feature and creates a combination of features that has not previously been considered by the examiner. Thus the amendment raises new issues that would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are limited to the newly added features mentioned above in claims 1 and 13 that have not yet been considered. These the considered state in the because the new features require further search and consideration. Since the application still contains claims that are currently referred, the application is not in condition for allowance.